arXiv:1702.03642v1 [nlin.SI] 13 Feb 2017

Bäcklund transformations and divisor doubling

A.V. Tsiganov

St.Petersburg State University, St.Petersburg, Russia, Udmurt State University, Izhevsk, Russia

e-mail: andrey.tsiganov@gmail.com

Abstract

The hyperelliptic curve cryptography is based on the arithmetic in the Jacobian of a curve. In classical mechanics well-known cryptographic algorithms and protocols can be very useful for construct auto Bäcklund transformations, discretization of continuous flows and study of integrable systems with higher order integrals of motion. We consider application of a standard generic divisor doubling for construction of new auto Bäcklund transformations for the Lagrange top and Hénon-Heiles system.

1 Introduction

For many integrable by quadratures dynamical systems in holonomic and nonholonomic mechanics the generic level set of integrals of motion can be related to the Jacobian of some hyperelliptic curve [4, 12, 24]. It allows us to study symmetries of integrals of motion using group operations in this Jacobian

$$D + D' = D'' \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\ell]D = D'\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where D, D' and D'' are reduced divisors, $+$ and $[\ell]$ denote addition and scalar multiplication by an integer, respectively.

In 1826, Abel made a great advance: he discovered an addition theorem of sweeping generality [1]. The main legacy of the Abel theorem is the string of developments leading to our understanding of the Picard variety, functor of points and divisor class group of an algebraic variety. The history of these developments is rich and fascinating, and it is a significant part of the history of algebraic geometry, see article [13], which gives many precise references to the original sources and to the secondary literature.

In [2] Cantor proposed an algorithm for performing computations in Jacobian groups of hyperelliptic curves which consists of two stages: composition stage which generally outputs an unreduced divisor, and reduction stage which transforms the unreduced divisor into the unique reduced divisor. Now we have a lot of algorithms and their professional computer implementations for the divisor arithmetics on low, mid and high-genus hyperelliptic curves, for generic divisor doubling, tripling, halving, for degenerate divisors operations and other special cases, in particular see [3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 17, 19].

In Hamiltonian mechanics authors usually consider only full degree divisors D, D'' and weight one divisor D' in (1.1) when reduction coincides with inversion. This partial group operation and the corresponding one-point auto Bäcklund transformations (BTs) have been studied from different points of view in many publications, in particular see [5, 11, 14, 15, 18] and references therein. In fact, this construction of auto BTs was specially developed for one-parametric discretization of continuous Hamiltonian flows.

Our main our is to explicitly show two examples of auto BTs associated with the generic divisor doubling at $\ell = 2$ in (1.1). Such auto BTs represent hidden symmetries of the level manifold which yield new canonical variables on original phase space and, therefore, we can use these new variables for construction of new integrable systems, i.e. for construction of hetero Bäcklund transformations [20, 21, 22, 23].

2 Divisor arithmetic on hyperelliptic curves

In this Section we give some brief background on hyperelliptic curves and divisor arithmetics [9].

Let g be a positive integer, hyperelliptic curve C of genus g is defined by equation

$$C: \qquad y^2 + h(x)y = f(x),$$

where $f(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree $2g+1$ with distinct roots, $h(x)$ is a polynomial with deg $h \le g$. A rational point on curve C is denoted $P_i = (x_i, y_i)$, and P_∞ is a point at infinity. The inverse of $P = (x, y)$ is point $-P = (x, -y - h(x))$. If $P = -P$ then P is so-called ramification point.

Divisor $D = \sum m_i P_i$, $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a formal sum of points on the curve, and degree of divisor D is the sum $\sum m_i$ of the multiplicities of points in support of the divisor. Set $\mathbb{D}$ of the divisors form an abelian group under the formal addition rule

$$\sum m_i P_i + \sum n_i P_i = \sum (m_i + n_i) P_i \,.$$

Set $\mathbb{D}^0$ of the degree zero divisors is a subgroup of $\mathbb{D}$. Two divisors are equivalent $D \approx D'$ if their difference is equal to the divisor of zeroes and poles of rational function. i.e. a principal divisor $D - D' \in \mathbb{P}$. Quotient group of $\mathbb{D}^0$ by the group of principal divisors $\mathbb{P}$ is called the divisor class group of C or Picard group of C.

The divisor class group, where the elements are equivalence classes of degree zero divisors on C, is isomorphic to the Jacobian of C. Semi-reduced divisor is a divisor of the form

$$D = \sum m_i P_i - \left(\sum m_i\right) P_\infty \,,$$

where $m_i > 0$, $P_i \neq -P_j$ for $i \neq j$, no P_i satisfying $P_i = -P_i$ appears more than once. For each divisor $\tilde{D} \in \mathbb{D}^0$ there is a semi-reduced divisor D so that $D \approx \tilde{D}$. However semi-reduced divisors are not unique in their equivalence class. In [23] we used this fact in order to get auto BTs associated with an equivalence relation.

A semi-reduced divisor D is called reduced if $\sum m_i \le g$, i.e. if the sum of multiplicities is no more that genus of curve C. This is a consequence of Riemann-Roch theorem for hyperelliptic curves that for each divisor $\tilde{D} \in \mathbb{D}^0$ there is a unique reduced divisor D so that $D \approx \tilde{D}$. Below we assume that equations (1.1) involve only reduced divisors unless stated otherwise. The reduced degree or weight of reduced divisor D is defined as $w(D) = \sum m_i$.

The Jacobian of hyperelliptic curve is a group of degree zero divisors modulo principal divisors, and the group operation is formal addition modulo the equivalence relations. To compute the additive group law, Cantor gave a concrete algorithm which is applicable to a hyperelliptic curve of any genus [2]. The composition part of this algorithm computes the semi-reduced divisor $\tilde{D} = D + D'$ that is equivalent to D''. The reduction part computes the reduced divisor D''. In the examples considered below reduction coincides with inversion $D'' = -\tilde{D}$.

In Cantor's algorithm we use of the polynomial representation of group elements $D = (U(x), V(x))$ proposed by Mumford for the reduced and semi-reduced divisors

$$U(x) = \prod (x - x_i)^{m_i} \,, \quad V(x_i) = y_i \,, \quad \deg(V) < \deg(U) \le g \,, \quad V^2 - f \equiv 0 \bmod U \,.$$

Here monic polynomial $U(x)$ may have multiple roots and $V(x)$ is tangent to the curve according to multiplicity roots.

In classical mechanics Jacobi polynomials $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ form so-called Lax matrix [12, 24]

$$L(x) = \begin{pmatrix} V(x) & U(x) \\ -\left(V^2 - f\right) U^{-1} & -V(x) \end{pmatrix} ,$$

and group operations are similar transformations

$$L(x) \to G L(x) G^{-1} \,,$$

where matrix G depends on a group operation. Some matrices G associated with weight one divisor D' in (1.1) are discussed in [5, 11, 14, 15, 18].

Instead of the Lax matrices we prefer to use divisors $D = (U(x), V(x))$, computer implementations of Cantor's type algorithms and well-known explicit formulae for arithmetic on low-genus hyperelliptic curves from textbooks on algebraic geometry and handbooks on hyperelliptic cryptography.

2.1 Arithmetic on genus 2 hyperelliptic curves

In cryptography we have to distinguish odd and even characteristic fields [9], but in classical mechanics we can always apply the substitution $y \to y - h(x)/2$ and study the genus two hyperelliptic curve which is defined by equation

$$C: \quad y^2 = f(x)\,, \qquad f(x) = a_5x^5 + a_4x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Let us consider the addition of full degree reduced divisor

$$D: \quad \mathrm{supp}(D) = \{P_1, P_2\} \cup \{P_\infty\}\,, w(D) = 2$$

with other reduced divisor D' in the following cases

$$\begin{aligned}
&1. \quad D + D' = D''\,, && w(D') = 2\,, && \mathrm{supp}(D') = \{P_1', P_2'\} \cup \{P_\infty\}\,,\\
&2. \quad [2]D = D''\,, && D' = D\,, && \mathrm{supp}(D') = \{P_1, P_2\} \cup \{P_\infty\}\,,\\
&3. \quad D + D' = D''\,, && w(D') = 1\,, && \mathrm{supp}(D') = \{P_1'\} \cup \{P_\infty\}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The result is full degree reduced divisor D'' with $\mathrm{supp}(D'') = \{P_1'', P_2''\} \cup \{P_\infty\}$ and $w(D'') = 2$.

In the second case operation

$$[2]D = D + D = D'' \tag{2.4}$$

is called doubling of divisor D, i.e. scalar multiplication on integer $\ell = 2$. Its inverse is called halving of D'' and for a given D'' equation (2.4) has 2^{2g} solutions, any two of which differ by a 2-torsion divisor [8], for efficient implementation see [17] and references within. Below we do not consider halving, tripling and other operations because the resulting equations for the corresponding auto Bäcklund transformations are quite bulky and unreadable. The third case in (2.3) corresponds to one-point auto Bäcklund transformations from [14, 18].

In fact, there are two main methods for deriving group law in the Jacobian of hyperelliptic curve: the algebraic method based on Harley's implementation [8, 10] of Cantor's algorithm [2], and the geometric method using interpolation of points [3], which is based on Clebsch's geometric interpretation of the Abel results [13].

In the second case we add two divisors D and D' by finding a function which divisor contains the support of both D and D', and then the sum is equivalent to the negative of the compliment of that support. Following Abel's main idea from [1] such a function $\mathcal{P}(x)$ can be obtained by interpolating the points in the support of the two divisors. Compliment of the support D and D' in the support of $div(\mathcal{P})$ consists of other points of intersection of $y = \mathcal{P}(x)$ with the curve C.

Let us consider intersection of C with the second plane curve defined by equation

$$y - \mathcal{P}(x) = 0\,, \qquad \mathcal{P}(x) = b_3x^3 + b_2x^2 + b_1x + b_0\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Substituting $y = \mathcal{P}(x)$ into the equation (2.2), we obtain the so-called Abel polynomial [1]

$$\psi(x) = \mathcal{P}(x)^2 - f(x)\,,$$

which has no multiple roots in the first and third cases and has double roots in the second case:

$$\begin{aligned}
&1. \quad \psi(x) = b_3^2(x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_1')(x - x_2')(x - x_1'')(x - x_2'')\,,\\
&2. \quad \psi(x) = b_3^2(x - x_1)^2(x - x_1')^2(x - x_1'')(x - x_2'')\,,\\
&3. \quad \psi(x) = -a_5(x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_1')(x - x_1'')(x - x_2'')\,, \quad b_3 = 0\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The cases 1 and 2 are presented in Figure 1.

Equating coefficients of ψ in the first case gives

$$x_1'' + x_2'' = -x_1 - x_2 - x_1' - x_2' + \frac{a_5 - 2b_2b_3}{b_3^2}\,, \tag{2.6}$$

$$x_1''x_2'' = \frac{2b_1b_3 + b_2^2 - a_4}{b_3^2} - (x_1 + x_2 + x_1' + x_2')(x_1'' + x_2'') - x_1(x_2 + x_1' + x_2') - x_2(x_1' + x_2') - x_1'x_2'\,.$$



Case 1: $(P_1 + P_2) + (P_1' + P_2') = P_1'' + P_2''$



Case 2: $[2](P_1 + P_2) = P_1'' + P_2''$

In the second case we have to put $x'_{1,2} = x_{1,2}$ in these equations, whereas in the third case we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1'' + x_2'' &= -x_1 - x_2 - x_1' + \frac{b_2^2 - a_4}{a_5}, \\ x_1'' x_2'' &= \frac{a_3 - 2b_1 b_2}{a_5} - (x_1 + x_2 + x_1')(x_1'' + x_2'') - x_1 x_2 - x_1 x_1' - x_2 x_1'. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Four coefficients b_3, b_2, b_1 and b_0 of polynomial $\mathcal{P}(x)$ (2.5) are calculated by solving four algebraic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &1. \quad y_{1,2} = \mathcal{P}(x_{1,2})\,, \qquad y'_{1,2} = \mathcal{P}(x'_{1,2})\,; \\ &2. \quad y_{1,2} = \mathcal{P}(x_{1,2})\,, \qquad \left.\frac{dP(x)}{dx}\right|_{x=x_{1,2}} = \left.\frac{d\sqrt{f(x)}}{dx}\right|_{x=x_{1,2}} \equiv \frac{1}{2y_{1,2}}\,\partial f(x_{1,2})\,, \\ &3. \quad y_{1,2} = \mathcal{P}(x_{1,2})\,, \qquad y_1' = \mathcal{P}(x_1')\,, \qquad b_3 = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $\partial f(x)$ is derivative of $f(x)$ (2.2) by x. Substituting coefficients b_k into (2.6-2.7) one gets abscissas $\tilde{u}_{1,2}$, whereas the corresponding ordinates $y''_{1,2}$ are equal to

$$y''_{3,4} = -\mathcal{P}(x''_{1,2})\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where polynomial $\mathcal{P}(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 1.\quad \mathcal{P}(x) &= \frac{(x-x_2')(x-x_1')(x-x_2)y_1}{(x_1-x_1')(x_1-x_2')(x_1-x_2)} + \frac{(x-x_2')(x-x_1')(x-x_1)y_2}{(x_2-x_1')(x_2-x_2')(x_1-x_2)} \\ &+ \frac{(x-x_2')(x-x_2)(x-x_1)y_1'}{(x_1'-x_1)(x_1'-x_2)(x_2'-x_1')} + \frac{(x-x_1')(x-x_2)(x-x_1)y_2'}{(x_2'-x_1)(x_2'-x_2)(x_2'-x_1')}\,, \\ 2.\quad \mathcal{P}(x) &= \frac{(x-x_2)^2(2x-3x_1+x_2)y_1}{(x_2-x_1)^3} + \frac{(x-x_1)^2(2x+x_1-3x_2)y_2}{(x_1-x_2)^3} \\ &+ \frac{(x-x_2)^2(x-x_1)\partial f(x_1)}{2(x_1-x_2)^2 y_1} + \frac{(x-x_1)^2(x-x_2)\partial f(x_2)}{2(x_1-x_2)^2 y_2}\,, \\ 3.\quad \mathcal{P}(x) &= \frac{y_1(x-x_2)(x-x_1')}{(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_1')} + \frac{y_2(x-x_1)(x-x_1')}{(x_2-x_1)(x_2-x_1')} + \frac{y_1'(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_1'-x_1)(x_1'-x_2)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

In (2.9) we also made a reduction, which coincides with inversion in our cases.

If D is a full degree divisor on the hyperelliptic genus three, then at the composition stage we compute coefficients of the quintic polynomial $\mathcal{P}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{5} b_k x^k$ using six equations $y_i = \mathcal{P}(x_i)$ and $\partial f(x_i) = 2y_i \partial \mathcal{P}(x_i)$. Then we compute abscissas $\tilde{x}_k$ of the remaining four points of intersection using Abel polynomial

$$\psi(x) = f(x) - \mathcal{P}^2(x) = -b_5 \prod_{i=1}^{3}(x - x_i)^2 \prod_{i=1}^{4}(x - \tilde{x}_i)$$

and find the corresponding ordinates $\tilde{y}_i = \mathcal{P}(\tilde{x}_i)$. As a result, we obtain unreduced divisor $\tilde{D} = \tilde{P}_1 + \tilde{P}_2 + \tilde{P}_3 + \tilde{P}_4 - 4P_\infty$. At the reduction stage we are looking for the cubic polynomial $\mathcal{P}'(x)$ which interpolates the points in support of $\tilde{D}$ and intersects C in three more places to form $D'' = P_1'' + P_2'' + P_3'' - 3P_\infty$. As a result, we obtain reduced divisor D'' which is equivalent to $\tilde{D}$ [3, 19].

To perform such computations in generic cases we can take any professional implementation of Cantor's algorithm or implementations of more effective improvements and extensions to Cantor's algorithm for mid- or high-genus curves with general divisor doubling, tripling etc [2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 19].

3 Examples of auto Bäcklund transformations

3.1 Lagrange top

Let us consider rotation of a rigid body around a fixed point in a homogeneous gravity field in the Lagrange case. In terms of the Euler angles ψ, θ, ϕ, and momenta p_ψ, p_θ, p_ϕ the Hamilton function looks like

$$H = p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2 + 2\cos\theta\, p_\phi p_\psi + p_\psi^2}{\sin^2\theta} + \cos\theta\,.$$

It is invariant with respect to canonical transformations of valence c

$$\psi \to \tilde{\psi} = c\psi + a\,, \qquad \phi \to \tilde{\phi} = c\phi + b\,, \qquad \tilde{p}_\psi = p_\psi\,, \qquad \tilde{p}_\phi = p_\phi. \tag{3.11}$$

For canonical transformation $(q, p) \to (\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$ of valence c the Jacobi matrix of transformation

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\partial \tilde{q}}{\partial q} & \dfrac{\partial \tilde{q}}{\partial p} \\ \\ \dfrac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial q} & \dfrac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a generalized symplectic matrix of valence c

$$V^\top \Omega V = c\Omega\,, \qquad \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id \\ -Id & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

see details in [7].

Canonical transformation (3.11) is a symmetry and, according to Noether's theorem, this symmetry is related to integrals of motion

$$A = p_\psi\,, \qquad B = p_\phi.$$

We can also use this canonical transformation for construction of integrable discretization

$$\psi_k = c_k\psi_{k-1} + a_k\,, \qquad \phi_k = c_k\psi_{k-1} + b_k\,,$$

for dynamics of spin $\psi(t)$ and precession $\phi(t)$.

In order to describe similar canonical transformation, symmetry and discretization for nutation we can use the standard arithmetic on elliptic curves. Indeed, in [16] Lagrange noted that nutation $u = cos\theta$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp(t)$ because Hamilton-Jacobi equation $H = E$

$$\frac{v^2 + A^2 + 2uAB + B^2}{1 - u^2} + u = E\,, \quad \text{where} \quad v = \sin\theta\, p_\theta\,, \tag{3.12}$$

defines the elliptic curve.

For elliptic curve

$$C: \qquad y^2 = f(x)\,, \qquad f(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$$

the Jacobian of C is isomorphic to the curve itself. So, let us consider points $P = (x, y)$, $P' = (x', y')$ and $P'' = (x'', y'')$ on C so that

$$\text{Case 1:} \quad P + P' = P'', \qquad \text{Case 2:} \quad [2]P = P''\,, \qquad \text{Case 3:} \quad [3]P = P''\,.$$

According to [9] coordinates of P'' are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&1. \qquad x'' = -x - x' - \frac{a_2}{a_3} + \frac{1}{a_3}\left(\frac{y-y'}{x-x'}\right)^2\,, && y'' = -\frac{x''-x'}{x-x'}y + \frac{x''-x}{x'-x}y'\,,\\
&2. \qquad x'' = -2x - \frac{a_2}{a_3} + \frac{1}{a_3}\left(\frac{3a_3x^2+2a_2x+a_1}{2y}\right)^2\,, && y'' = -y - \frac{(x''-x)(3a_3x^2+2a_2x+a_1)}{2y}\,,\\
&3. \qquad x'' = -3x - \frac{2b_1}{b_2} - \frac{a_3}{b_2^2}\,, && y'' = b_2x''^2 + b_1x'' + b_0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where b_k are coefficients of the polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}(z) = b_2z^2 + b_1z + b_0 = y + \frac{(z-x)(3a_3xz + a_2(z+x) + a_1)}{2y} - \frac{(z-x)^2(3a_3x^2+2a_2x+a_1)^2}{8y^3}$$

In order to get auto Bäcklund transformations $(u, v) \to (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ for the Lagrange top we have to combine these equations with equation (3.12) :

$$\begin{aligned}
&1. \qquad \tilde{u} = -u - \lambda + H + \left(\frac{v-\mu}{u-\lambda}\right)^2\,, && \tilde{v} = -\frac{\tilde{u}-\lambda}{u-\lambda}\,v + \frac{\tilde{u}-u}{\lambda-u}\,\mu\,,\\
&2. \qquad \tilde{u} = -2u + H - \frac{(2AB+2Hu-3u^2+1)^2}{4v^2}\,, && \tilde{v} = -v - \frac{(\tilde{u}-u)(2AB+2Hu-3u^2+1)}{2v}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where we put $x' = \lambda$ and $y' = \mu$ following [14, 15, 18]. In the third case we present polynomial $\mathcal{P}(z)$ only:

$$\mathcal{P}(z) = v - \frac{(z-u)(2AB + H(z+u) - 3zu + 1)}{2v} - \frac{(z-u)^2(2AB+2Hu-3u^2+1)^2}{8v^3}\,.$$

The corresponding variables $\tilde{u} = x''$ and $\tilde{v} = y''$ are obtained from (3.13)

Proposition 1 *Equations (3.14) determine canonical transformations $(\theta, p_\theta) \to (\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{p}_\theta)$ of valencies one, two and three, respectively. These canonical transformations preserve the form of Hamilton-Jacobi equation $H = E$, i.e. they are auto Bäcklund transformations for the Lagrange top.*

The proof is a straightforward calculation in which we have taken into account that $\mu = \sqrt{f(\lambda)}$ is a function on θ, p_θ and parameter λ.

First transformation is the so-called one-point BT similar to BT from [15], second and third auto BTs of valencies two and three are new. For inverse transformations associated with division, we have valencies $c = 1/2$, $c = 1/3$ etc.

In the framework of the elliptic curve cryptography various combinations of addition, multiplication and division

$$P''_k = [c_k]P''_{k-1} + P'_k\,, \qquad P''_0 = P\,,$$

determine the so-called cryptographic protocols [9, 3]. In classical mechanics we can identify these protocols with various integrable discretizations of continuous flows, for instance with discretizations for dynamics of nutation angle θ in the Lagrange case of rigid body motion.

3.2 Hénon-Heiles system

Let us take Hénon-Heiles system with Hamiltonians

$$H_1 = \frac{p_1^2+p_2^2}{4} - 4aq_2(q_1^2+2q_2^2)\,, \qquad H_2 = \frac{p_1(q_1p_2-q_2p_1)}{2} - aq_1^2(q_1^2+4q_2^2) \tag{3.15}$$

separable in parabolic coordinates on the plane

$$u_1 = q_2 - \sqrt{q_1^2+q_2^2}, \qquad u_2 = q_2 + \sqrt{q_1^2+q_2^2}\,. \tag{3.16}$$

Standard momenta associated with parabolic coordinates $u_{1,2}$ are equal to

$$p_{u_1} = \frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1(q_2+\sqrt{q_1^2+q_2^2})}{2q_1}\,, \qquad p_{u_2} = \frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1(q_2-\sqrt{q_1^2+q_2^2})}{2q_1}\,.$$

To describe evolution of $u_{1,2}$ with respect to $H_{1,2}$ we use the canonical Poisson bracket

$$\{q_i,p_j\}=\delta_{ij}\,, \quad \{q_1,q_2\}=\{p_1,p_2\}=0\,, \qquad \{u_i,p_{u_j}\}=\delta_{ij}\,, \quad \{u_1,u_2\}=\{p_{u_1},p_{u_2}\}=0\,. \tag{3.17}$$

and expressions for $H_{1,2}$

$$H_1 = \frac{p_{u_1}^2u_1-p_{u_2}^2u_2}{u_1-u_2} - a(u_1+u_2)(u_1^2+u_2^2)\,, \quad H_2 = \frac{u_1u_2(p_{u_1}^2-p_{u_2}^2)}{u_2-u_1} + au_1u_2(u_1^2+u_1u_2+u_2^2) \tag{3.18}$$

to obtain

$$\frac{du_1}{dt_1} = \{u_1,H_1\} = \frac{2p_{u_1}u_1}{u_1-u_2}\,, \qquad \frac{du_2}{dt_1} = \{u_2,H_1\} = \frac{2p_{u_2}u_2}{u_2-u_1} \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\frac{du_1}{dt_2} = \{u_1,H_2\} = \frac{2u_1u_2p_{u_1}}{u_2-u_1}\,, \qquad \frac{du_2}{dt_2} = \{u_2,H_2\} = \frac{2u_1u_2p_{u_2}}{u_1-u_2}\,. \tag{3.20}$$

Using Hamilton-Jacobi equations $H_{1,2}=\alpha_{1,2}$ we can prove that these variables satisfy to the following separated relations

$$\big(u_ip_{u_i}\big)^2 = u_i(au_i^4+\alpha_1u_i+\alpha_2)\,, \qquad i=1,2. \tag{3.21}$$

Expressions (3.19-3.20) and (3.21) yield standard Abel quadratures

$$\frac{du_1}{\sqrt{f(u_1)}} + \frac{du_2}{\sqrt{f(u_2)}} = 2dt_2\,, \qquad \frac{u_1du_1}{\sqrt{f(u_1)}} + \frac{u_2du_2}{\sqrt{f(u_2)}} = 2dt_1, \tag{3.22}$$

on hyperelliptic curve C of genus two defined by equation

$$C: \quad y^2=f(x)\,, \qquad f(x)=x(ax^4+\alpha_1x+\alpha_2)\,. \tag{3.23}$$

Suppose that transformation of variables

$$(q_1,q_2,p_1,p_2)\to(\tilde q_1,\tilde q_2,\tilde p_1,\tilde p_2) \tag{3.24}$$

preserves Hamilton equations (3.19-3.20) and the form of Hamiltonians (3.18). It means that new parabolic coordinates $\tilde u_{1,2}=\tilde q_2\pm\sqrt{\tilde q_1^2+\tilde q_2^2}$ satisfy to the same equations

$$\frac{d\tilde u_1}{\sqrt{f(\tilde u_1)}} + \frac{d\tilde u_2}{\sqrt{f(\tilde u_2)}} = 2dt_2\,, \qquad \frac{\tilde u_1d\tilde u_1}{\sqrt{f(\tilde u_1)}} + \frac{\tilde u_2d\tilde u_2}{\sqrt{f(\tilde u_2)}} = 2dt_1\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Subtracting (3.25) from (3.22) one gets Abel differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_1(x_1,y_1)+\omega_1(x_2,y_2)+\omega_1(x_1'',y_1'')+\omega_1(x_2'',y_2'')=0\,,\\
&\omega_2(x_1,y_1)+\omega_2(x_2,y_2)+\omega_2(x_2'',y_1'')+\omega_2(x_2'',y_2'')=0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where

$$x_{1,2}=u_{1,2}, \quad y_{1,2}=u_{1,2}p_{u_{1,2}}\,, \qquad x''_{1,2}=\tilde u_{1,2}, \quad y''_{1,2}=-\tilde u_{1,2}\tilde p_{u_{1,2}}$$

and $\omega_{1,2}$ form a base of holomorphic differentials on hyperelliptic curve C of genus $g = 2$

$$\omega_1(x,y) = \frac{dx}{y}\,, \qquad \omega_2(x,y) = \frac{xdx}{y}\,.$$

These Abel equations are related to the Picard group which is isomorphic to Jacobian of C [13] and we can consider canonical transformation (3.24) of variables in the phase space as some group operation in the Jacobian.

Let us suppose that generic points $P_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ and $P_2 = (x_2, y_2)$ form divisor D in (2.3), whereas points $P''_{1,2} = (x''_{1,2}, y''_{1,2})$ belong to support of resulting divisor D''. Canonical transformations (3.24) associated with arithmetic operations (2.3) are completely defined by coefficients b_k of polynomial $\mathcal{P}$ (2.10). In the first case, these coefficients b_k are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
Ab_0 &= q_1x'_1x'_2(x'_1 - x'_2)\Big(p_1\big(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 - 2q_2(x'_1 + x'_2) + x'_1x'_2\big) + q_1p_2(x'_1 + x'_2 + 2q_2)\Big)\\
&- 2q_1^2x'_2(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_2 - {x'_2}^2)y'_1 + 2q_1^2x'_1(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_1 - {x'_2}^2)y'_2;\\
Ab_1 &= (x'_2 - x'_1)\Big(q_1p_1\big((q_1^2 + 4q_2^2)(x'_1 + x'_2) - 2q_2({x'_1}^2 + x'_1x'_2 + {x'_2}^2)\big)\\
&\qquad - p_2\big(2q_1^2q_2(x'_1 + x'_2) - q_1^2({x'_1}^2 + x'_1x'_2 + {x'_2}^2) + {x'_1}^2{x'_2}^2\big)\Big)\\
&+ 2(q_1^2 - 2q_2x'_2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_2 - {x'_2}^2)y'_1 - 2(q_1^2 - 2q_2x'_1)(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_1 - {x'_1}^2)y'_2\,,\\
Ab_2 &= (x'_1 - x'_2)\Big(q_1p_1(q_1^2 + 4q_2^2 - {x'_1}^2 - x'_1x'_2 - {x'_2}^2) - \big(2q_1^2q_2 + (x'_1 + x'_2)x'_1x'_2\big)p_2\Big)\\
&+ 2(2q_2 + x'_2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_2 - {x'_2}^2)y'_1 - 2(2q_2 + x'_1)(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_1 - {x'_1}^2)y'_2\,,\\
Ab_3 &= (x'_2 - x'_1)\big(q_1p_1(2q_2 - x'_1 - x'_2) - (q_1^2 + x'_1x'_2)p_2\big) - 2(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_2 - {x'_2}^2)y'_1\\
&- 2(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_1 - {x'_1}^2)y'_2\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = 2(x'_1 - x'_2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_1 - {x'_2}^2)(q_1^2 + 2q_2x'_2 - {x'_2}^2).$$

Substituting these coefficients into the following expressions one gets explicit formulae for the new variables

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{q}_2 &= -q_2 - \frac{x'_1 + x'_2}{2} - \frac{b_2}{b_3} + \frac{a}{2b_3^2}\,,\\
\tilde{q}_1^2 &= -q_1^2 + 2\tilde{q}_2(2q_2 + x'_1 + x'_2) + 2q_2(x'_1 + x'_2) + x'_1x'_2 - \frac{2b_1}{b_3} - \frac{b_2^2}{b_3^2}\,,\\
\tilde{p}_1 &= -\frac{2b_0}{\tilde{q}_1} - 4\tilde{q}_1\tilde{q}_2b_3 - 2\tilde{q}_1b_2\,, \quad \tilde{p}_2 = -2(\tilde{q}_1^2 + 4\tilde{q}_2^2)b_3 - 4\tilde{q}_2b_2 - 2b_1\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

In Case 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Bb_0 &= -8aq_1^4(2p_1q_1q_2 - p2q_1^2 - 2p_2q_2^2) + q_1^2p_1(p_1^2q_1 + 2p_1p_2q_2 - 2p2^2q_1)\,,\\
Bb_1 &= -8aq_1^2(p_1q_1^3 + 10p_1q_1q_2^2 - 4p_2q_1^2q_2 - 4p_2q_2^3) - 2p_1^3q_1q_2 + 3p_1^2q_1^2p_2 - 4p_1^2p_2q_2^2\\
&+ 4p_1p_2^2q_1q_2 - 2p_2^3q_1^2\,,\\
Bb_2 &= -8aq_1(12p_1q_2^3 + p_2q_1^3 - 2p_2q_1q_2^2) + p_1^2(p_1q_1 + 4p_2q_2)\,,\\
Bb_3 &= 8aq_1(p_1q_1^2 + 6p_1q_2^2 - 2p_2q_1q_2) - p_2p_1^2\,, \qquad B = 4q_1(p_1^2q_1 + 2p_1p_2q_2 - p_2^2q_1)
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{q}_2 &= -2q_2 + \frac{1}{\left(8q_1(p_1q_1^2+6p_1q_2^2-2p_2q_1q_2)a - p_2p_1^2\right)^2} \\
&\times \Big(64q_1^2(12p_1q_2^3+p_2q_1^3-2p_2q_1q_2^2)(p_1q_1^2+6p_1q_2^2-2p_2q_1q_2)a^2 + p_1^4p_2(p_1q_1+4p_2q_2) \\
&\quad -8aq_1(6p_1^4q_1q_2^2 - 2p_1^3p_2q_1^2q_2 + 36p_1^3p_2q_2^3 + 3p_1^2p_2^2q_1^3 - 14p_1^2p_2^2q_1q_2^2 + 4p_1p_2^3q_1^2q_2 - p_2^4q_1^3)\Big)\,, \\
\tilde{q}_1^2 &= -\left(\frac{8aq_1^2(2p_1q_1q_2 - p_2q_1^2 - 2p_2q_2^2) - p_1(p_1^2q_1 + 2p_1p_2q_2 - 2p_2^2q_1}{8aq_1(p_1q_1^2+6p_1q_2^2-2p_2q_1q_2) - p_2p_1^2}\right)^2\,, \qquad (3.28) \\
\tilde{q}_1\tilde{p}_1 &= -2b_0 - 2\tilde{q}_1^2(b_2 + 2\tilde{q}_2b_3)\,, \quad \tilde{p}_2 = -2(\tilde{q}_1^2 + 4\tilde{q}_2^2)b_3 - 4\tilde{q}_2b_2 - 2b_1\,.
\end{aligned}$$

In Case 3 coefficients are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
b_0 &= \frac{(x_1'(2p_1q_2x_1' - p_2q_1)p_1{x_1'}^2 + 2q_1y_1')q_1}{2(q_1^2 + 2q_2x_1' - {x_1'}^2)} \\
b_1 &= -\frac{2p_1q_1q_2 - p_2(q_1^2 - {x_1'}^2) - 4q_2y_1'}{2(q_1^2 + 2q_2x_1' - {x_1'}^2)}\,, \qquad b_2 = \frac{p_1q_1 + p_2x_1' - 2y_1'}{2(q_1^2 + 2q_2x_1' - {x_1'}^2)}\,,
\end{aligned} \qquad (3.29)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{q}_2 &= -q_2 - \frac{x_1'}{2} + \frac{b_2^2}{2a} = -q_2 - \frac{x_1'}{2} + \frac{(p_1q_1 + p_2x_1' - 2y_1')^2}{8a(q_1^2 + 2q_2x_1' - {x_1'}^2)^2}\,, \\
\tilde{q}_1^2 &= -q_1^2 + 2\tilde{q}_2(2q_2 + x_1') + 2q_2x_1' + \frac{2b_1b_2}{a} \qquad (3.30) \\
&= -q_1^2 + 2\tilde{q}_2(2q_2 + x_1') + 2q_2x_1' - \frac{(p_1q_1 + p_2x_1' - 2y_1')(2p_1q_1q_2 - p_2q_1^2 + p_2{x_1'}^2 - 4q_2y_1')}{2a(q_1^2 + 2q_2x_1' - {x_1'}^2)^2}\,, \\
\tilde{p}_2 &= -4\tilde{q}_2b_2 - 2b_1 = -p_2 - \frac{2(q_2 - \tilde{q}_2)(2y_1' - p_1q_1 - p_2x_1')}{q_1^2 + 2q_2x_1' - {x_1'}^2}\,, \\
\tilde{q}_1\tilde{p}_1 &= -2b_0 - 2q_1^2b_2 = -q_2p_2 - \frac{(q_1^2 - \tilde{q}_2^2)(2y_1' - p_1q_1 - p_2x_1')}{q_1^2 + 2q_2x_1' - {x_1'}^2}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

These explicit formulae for $\tilde{q}_{1,2}$ and $\tilde{p}_{1,2}$ can be easily obtained using any modern computer algebra system.

We present these bulky expressions here only so that any reader can verify that these transformations $(q,p) \to (\tilde{q},\tilde{p})$ are different, i.e. cannot be obtained from each other for special values of parameters, and that these transformations have the following properties.

Proposition 2 *Equations (3.27,3.28) and (3.30) determine canonical transformations (3.24) on $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ of valencies one and two for which original Poisson bracket (3.17) has the following form in new variables*

$$\begin{aligned}
1,3. \qquad & \{\tilde{q}_i,\tilde{p}_j\} = \delta_{i,j}\,, \qquad \{\tilde{q}_1,\tilde{q}_2\} = \{\tilde{p}_1,\tilde{p}_2\} = 0\,, \\
2. \qquad & \{\tilde{q}_i,\tilde{p}_j\} = 2\delta_{i,j}\,, \qquad \{\tilde{q}_1,\tilde{q}_2\} = \{\tilde{p}_1,\tilde{p}_2\} = 0\,,
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. These canonical transformations preserve the form of integrals of motion (3.15), i.e. they are auto Bäcklund transformations of the Hénon-Heiles system.

The proof is a straightforward calculation. In the same manner, i.e. using a computer implementation of Cantor's algorithm, we can prove that auto BT associated with tripling $D'' = [3]D$ of divisor has valence three.

Summing up, using Jacobian arithmetic for hyperelliptic curves, we can identify various cryptographic algorithms and protocols [9] with various schemes of the discretization of continuous Hamiltonian flows in classical mechanics. The fact most interesting to us is that the corresponding auto BTs

also yield new canonical variables on the original phase space, which can be used for construction of new integrable systems in the framework of the Jacobi method.

For instance, let us suppose that divisor D' in Case 3 consists of ramification point $P_0 = (0, 0)$ on C. In this case variables $\tilde{u}_{1,2}, \tilde{p}_{u_{1,2}}$ are defined by equations (2.7) and (2.9) for $x''_k = \tilde{u}_k$ and $y''_k = \tilde{u}_k \tilde{p}_{u_k}$:

$$\tilde{u}_1 + \tilde{u_2} = -u_1 - u_2 + \frac{b_2^2}{a}, \quad \tilde{u}_1\tilde{u}_2 = -\frac{2b_1b_2}{a} - (u_1 + u_2)(\tilde{u}_1 + \tilde{u}_2) - u_1u_2,$$

$$\tilde{p}_{u_1} = -\frac{b_2\tilde{u}_1^2 + b_1\tilde{u}_1 + b_0}{\tilde{u}_1}, \qquad \tilde{p}_{u_2} = -\frac{b_2\tilde{u}_2^2 + b_1\tilde{u}_2 + b_0}{\tilde{u}_2},$$

where coefficients b_k are given by (3.29). Substituting $y = \tilde{p}_{1,2}$ and $x = \tilde{u}_{1,2}$ into the separated relation

$$\tilde{C}: \quad (y^2 - ax^3 - \tilde{H}_1 - \tilde{H}_2)(y^2 - ax^3 - \tilde{H}_1 + \tilde{H}_2) + abx + acy = 0,$$

which defines genus three hyperelliptic curve $\tilde{C}$, and solving the resulting equations with respect to $\tilde{H}_{1,2}$, one gets Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H}_1 = \frac{p_1^2}{8} + \frac{p_2^2}{4} - aq_2(3q_1^2 + 8q_2^2) + \frac{b}{2q_1^2} - \frac{cp_1}{q_1^3}.$$

We can identify this Hamiltonian with well-known second integrable Hénon-Heiles system with quartic additional integral H_2 [20, 21]. In this case divisor D'' in (2.3) belongs simultaneously to Jacobians of genus two and genus three curves C and $\tilde{C}$. It is an open question how to construct such second curve $\tilde{C}$.

4 Conclusion

We prove that addition and scalar multiplication of divisors yield two different types of auto Bäcklund transformations of Hamilton-Jacobi equation. In hyperelliptic curve cryptography addition and scalar multiplication are associated with coding/decoding and, therefore, we can use well-studied cryptographic algorithms and protocols to construct various discretizations of Hamiltonian flows. The main purely technical problem is how to find a compact, readable representation of Bäcklund transformation in terms of original variables.

It is well-known that using variables of separation $u_1, \ldots, u_n; p_{u_1}, \ldots, p_{u_n}$ we can construct infinite dimensional pencil of Poisson bivectors

$$\mathbf{P}_f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ -F & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad F = \operatorname{diag}\Big(f_1(u_1, p_{u_1}), \ldots, f_n(u_n, p_{u_n})\Big)$$

compatible with canonical Poisson bivector $\mathbf{P}$. Auto BTs map this pencil to another infinite dimensional pencil of Poisson bivectors compatible with $\mathbf{P}$. These new Poisson bivectors produce new families of bi-Hamiltonian systems on the original phase space. Some of these integrable systems prove to be interesting in various applications.

In [23] we also consider auto BTs associated with the equivalence relations between unreduced divisors. One more type of auto BT may be associated with cryptographic attacks. Some of these attacks are quite efficient if the underlying hyperelliptic curve has special form, see [6, 8, 9, 19]. Consequently, using well known cryptographic attacks we could try to determine auto BTs and discretizations of the corresponding dynamical system at least numerically. It may be interesting to perform some computer experiments for dynamical systems associated with the hyperelliptic curve of a special form.

The work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project 15-12-20035).

References

[1] Abel N. H., Mémoire sure une propriété générale d'une class très éntendue des fonctions transcendantes, Œuvres complétes, Tom I, Grondahl Son, Christiania (1881), pages 145-211.

[2] Cantor D.G., *Computing in the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve*, Mathematics of computation, (1987), v.48, n.177, pp.95-101.

[3] Costello C., Lauter K., *Group law computations on Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves*, In: Miri, A., Vaudenay, S. (eds.) SAC 2011, LNCS, vol. 7118, pp. 92-117, Springer, Heidelberg (2012).

[4] Dubrovin B.A., Riemann Surfaces and Nonlinear Equations, Russian Mathematical Surveys, (1981), v.36, n.2, pp.11-93.

[5] Fedorov Yu., *Discrete versions of some algebraic integrable systems related to generalized Jacobians*, in SIDE III: Symmetries and Integrability of Difference Equations, (Sabaudia, 1998), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol.25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2000), pp.147-160.

[6] Galbraith S. G., Harrison M., Mireles Morales D.J., *Efficient hyperelliptic arithmetic using balanced representation for divisors*, in Algorithmic Number Theory 8th International Symposium (ANTS-VIII), A.J. van der Poorten and A. Stein (eds.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 5011, Springer, (2008), pp.342-356

[7] Gantmacher F., Lectures in Analytical Mechanics, Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1975.

[8] Gaudry P., Harley R., *Counting points on hyperelliptic curves over finite fields*, In W. Bosma, editor, ANTS, vol.1838 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, (2000), pp.313-332.

[9] Handbook of Elliptic and Hyperelliptic Curve Cryptography, editors H. Cohen and G. Frey, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2006.

[10] Harley R., Fast arithmetic on genus two curves, at http://cristal.inria.fr/˜harley/hyper/, (2000).

[11] Hone A.N.W., Kuznetsov V.B., Ragnisco O., *Bäcklund transformations for many-body systems related to KdV*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., (1999), v.32, p.L299-L306.

[12] Inoue R., Konishi Y., Yamazaki T., *Jacobian variety and integrable system - after Mumford, Beauville and Vanhaecke*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, (2007), v. 57, n.3, p. 815-831.

[13] Kleiman S.L., *The Picard scheme*, Fundamental Algebraic Geometry, Math. Surveys Monogr., v.123 , Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2005), pp.235-321.

[14] Kuznetsov V.B., Vanhaecke P., *Bäcklund transformations for finite-dimensional integrable systems: a geometric approach*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, (2002), vol.44, n.1, pp.1-40.

[15] Kuznetsov V. B., Petrera M., Ragnisco O., *Separation of variables and Bäcklund transformations for the symmetric Lagrange top*, (2004), J. Phys. A, v.37, pp. 8495-8512.

[16] Lagrange J.L., Mécanique Analytique, 1788, in Œvres de Lagrange, tome XII, Gauthier-Villars, 1889.

[17] Miret J.M., Moreno R., Pujolàs J., Rio A., *Halving for the 2-Sylow subgroup of genus 2 curves over binary fields*, Finite Fields and Their Applications, (2009), v.15, n. 5, p.569-579.

[18] Sklyanin E.K., *Bäcklund transformations and Baxter's Q-operator*, in Integrable Systems: from Classical to Quantum (1999, Montreal), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2000), pp. 227-250.

[19] Sutherland A.V., *Fast Jacobian arithmetic for hyperelliptic curves of genus 3*, arXiv: 1607.08602, (2016).

[20] Tsiganov A.V., *Simultaneous separation for the Neumann and Chaplygin systems*, Reg. Chaotic Dyn., (2015), v.20, pp.74-93.

[21] Tsiganov A.V., *On the Chaplygin system on the sphere with velocity dependent potential*, J. Geom. Phys., (2015), v.92, pp.94-99.

[22] Tsiganov A.V., *On auto and hetero Bäcklund transformations for the Hénon-Heiles systems*, Phys. Letters A, (2015), v.379, pp.2903-2907.

[23] Tsiganov A.V., *New bi-Hamiltonian systems on the plane*, arXiv:1701.05716, (2017).

[24] Vanhaecke P., Integrable systems in the realm of algebraic geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1638, (2001).